or intramolecular addition ${ }^{8}$ to double bonds could be detected. The ratios of the dimers to the hydrogenerated products formed in the reaction of phenylethylenes $\mathbf{1 a - c}, \mathbf{2}$, and $7 \mathbf{7 a}$ were 10,4 , and 3 , respectively. In the reaction of alkylethylenes, the corresponding ratios were lower: between 1.4 and 0.8 for $7 \mathbf{7 b - d}$ and 0.3 for $7 \mathbf{e}$. Methylene derivatives $1 \mathbf{1 a - c}$ reacted faster than all other olefins: after 30 min reaction at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the former were converted almost completely to products, while the latter only in $40-50 \%$.
The pattern of these reactions and the ratios of the products are consistent with the formation of radicals which undergo dimerization and disproportionation, as well as addition of H atoms. ${ }^{9}$ We have shown that the latter two processes take place by reacting cyclohexene with D atoms and isolating cyclohexane- $d_{2}(40 \%)$ and $-d_{1}(60 \%)$, the former being mainly the product of D -addition to the cyclohexyl radical and the latter of its disproportionation.

When the reactions of H with olefins were performed in toluene, combination products of olefins and toluene were also formed. Thus, $\mathbf{1 b}$ in toluene ( $5 \%$ ) gave, after 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \%$ yield of three compounds 10a, 11a, and $12 a^{10}$ in 2:1:1 ratio. They were separated after treatment with 2 -phenyltriazoline-1,3-dione, resulting in adducts from which 11a and 12a were regenerated with KOH . Dehydrogenation of 11 a with dichlorodicyanobenzoquinone (DDQ) led to the metasubstituted toluene 13a. ${ }^{11}$

Analogous three methylcyclohexadiene derivatives $\mathbf{1 0 b}, \mathbf{1 1 b}$, and $\mathbf{1 2 b}{ }^{12}$ were formed (in $8: 1: 1$ ratio) in the reaction of $\mathbf{7 b}$ in toluene with H atoms. The major product $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ was dehydrogenated with DDQ to the meta-substituted toluene derivative 13b. ${ }^{13}$ The formation of small amounts of dimers derived from selfcondensation of hydrogenated toluene was indicated by the isolation of biphenyl derivative $\mathbf{1 3 c}$ after dehydrogenation of the total reaction mixture.

Neat toluene also reacted with H atoms, resulting, after 30 min of reaction (ca. $1 \%$ conversion), in a mixture of dimeric products whose main constituent was a bicyclohexyl derivative 10d. ${ }^{14}$ It is apparent that 10-12 are thus all derived from methylcyclohexadienyl radical formed by the addition of H atom to the ortho position of toluene.

Theoretical calculation by Radom et al. ${ }^{15}$ has shown that the latter radical is the thermodynamically most stable of the four isomeric methylcyclohexadienyl radicals. Since it was previously established that H atoms add to ortho, meta, and para positions of toluene, ${ }^{16}$ the exclusive addition to the ortho position observed
(8) In the reaction of 7d, neither bicyclo[3.3.0]octane nor the respective octene derivative were found.
(9) The ratios of the dimers to the hydrogenated products correspond to the disproportionation combination ratios of the respective radicals: Gibian, M. J.; Corley, R. C. Chem. Rev. 1973, 73, 441 and references cited therein.
(10) 10a: MS, $m / e$ (relative intensity) 91 (100\%), 51 (21), 77 (78), 93 (88), 105 (82), 119 (50), 120 (62); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.1-7.3$ (m), $5.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{br}, 1$ H), 2.47 (br s, 2 H ), $1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25$ (d, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .11 \mathrm{a}: \mathrm{MS}, m / e$ (relative intensity) $93(100 \%), 51(18), 77(60), 91(99), 105(83), 119(58)$, 120 (68), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.02-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.83 (ddd, $J$ $=9.8,5.2,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=13,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.7$ (br, 1 H$), 1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .12 \mathrm{a}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.1-7.4(\mathrm{~m}), 5.55-5.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $17.5,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73$ (dd, $J=5.2,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
(11) 13a: MS, $m / e$ (relative intensity) 195 ( $100 \%$ ), 77 (39), 89 (40), 91 (42), 103 (51), 165 (18), $210\left(\mathrm{M}^{\bullet+}, 27\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $90 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 5.31$ $\mathrm{ppm}) \delta 7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.3(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
(12) 10b: product of reaction with deuterium atoms; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 5.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{br}, 10 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}, \mathrm{m} / e$ 54 (100), 56 (11), 78 (17), 92 (49), $93(42), 178\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} 7\right) .11 \mathrm{~b}$ and 12b identified as a mixture of endo and exo adducts with 2-phenyltriazoline-1,3dione. 11b: adduct a; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), 7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.49$ (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{V}}\right), 6.28$ (br s, 1H), $4.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-2(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; adduct $\mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.9-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H})$.
(13) 13b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 80 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $7.53-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.3$ (s, 3 H ), $1.81-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H})$.
(14) 10d: meso and $d l$; MS, $m / e$ (relative intensity) 93 ( $100 \%$ ), 91 (60), 79 (47), 77 ( 63 ), 65 (20); MS-Cl (relative intensity) 187 ( $\mathrm{MH}^{\bullet}+100 \%$ ), 151 (25), 137 (27), 119 (10), 115 (31); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.76$ (br, 1 H ), 5.31 (br, 1 H ), 2.85 (br, 1 H ), 2.55 (br s, 2 H ), 1.69 (s, 6 H ).
(15) Birch, A. J.; Hinde, A. L.; Radom, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 4074.
by us implies equilibration between the isomeric radicals, which occurs by elimination of H atoms, followed by their addition. Such equilibria seem reasonable in view of the reported data on the reactions of toluene and substituted toluenes with $D$ atoms in solution ${ }^{2}$ and on the thermal dissociation of cyclohexadienyl radical to benzene and H atoms in the gas phase. ${ }^{17}$

Evidence for equilibration of methylcyclohexadienyl radicals was obtained from the reaction of toluene with deuterium atoms, which results in ca. $10 \%$ of toluene- $d_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ca} .1 \%$ of the mixture of dimeric products. We are presently investigating the scope and the synthetic application of these meta substitutions in benzene derivatives.
(16) Pryor, W. A.; Lin, T. H.; Stanley, J. P.; Henderson, R. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 6993. Neta, P.; Schuler, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 1056.
(17) For the decomposition of cyclohexadienyl radicals in the gas phase, cf: Kim, P.; Lee, J. H.; Bonanno, R. J.; Timmons, R. B. J. Chem. Phys. 1973, 53,4593 . James, D. and Suart, R. D. Trans Faraday Soc. 1968, 64, 2735, 2752.
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There is overwhelming evidence from both theoretical studies ${ }^{2}$ and solid-state structural analyses ${ }^{3}$ that five-coordinate $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ complexes prefer the square-pyramidal geometry over the isomeric trigonal-bipyramidal form. Thus complexes of $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II}),{ }^{4} \mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{II}),{ }^{4}$ $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{III}),{ }^{5}$ and $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III}),{ }^{6}$ when five-coordinate, are generally assumed to be square pyramidal in spite of the fact that solution studies rarely ${ }^{7,8}$ provide unequivocal support for this assumption. In this paper, we detail a five-coordinate $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ system for which both isomeric forms are observed as a function of the ligand substitution; moreover, we present a compelling diagnostic method that distinguishes between the two possible isomeric forms in solution.

A previous report from our laboratory outlined the preparation of the dark-green methyl halide complexes $\operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{X}[\mathrm{N}$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}, \mathbf{1 a} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathbf{1 b})$. These five-coordinate complexes are square pyramidal ${ }^{10}$ both in the solid state, based on the X-ray structure of an analogue (i.e., $\operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{I}[\mathrm{N}$ ( $\left.\left.\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ ), and in solution, based on variable-temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR studies, ligand addition reactions, and NOEDIFF ${ }^{11}$ experiments. In particular, the observation of a positive
(1) Fellow of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation (1984-1987).
(2) (a) Pearson, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 4947. (b) Rossi, A. R.; Hoffmann, R. Inorg. Chem. 1975, 14, 365. (c) Burdett, J. K. Ibid. 1975, 14, 375, 931. (d) Elian, M.; Hoffmann, R. Ibid. 1975, 14, 1058.
(3) Holmes, R. R. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 32, 119.
(4) Hoffman, P. R.; Caulton, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 4221.
(5) Siedle, A. R.; Newmark, R. A.; Pignolet, L. H. Organometallics 1984, 3, 855 .
(6) Crocker, C.; Empsall, H. D.; Ernington, R. J.; Hyde, E. M.; McDonald, W. S.; Markam, R.; Norton, M. C.; Shaw, B. L.; Weeks, B. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1982, 1217.
(7) While this manuscript was in preparation, a paper appeared which reports that the stereochemistry of certain five-coordinate cyclometalated $\operatorname{Ir}($ III ) hydrides can apparently be distinguished by the chemical shift of the iridium hydride resonance; see: Dahlenburg, L.; Yardimiciouglu, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 299, 149.
(8) Ashworth, T. V.; Chalmers, A. A.; Singleton, E. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 2125 and ref 7 therein.
(9) Fryzuk, M. D.; MacNeil, P. A.; Rettig, S. J. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1145 .
(10) Fryzuk, M. D.; MacNeil, P. A.; Rettig, S. J., accepted for publication in Organometallics.


Figure 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (bottom), 400 MHz , and NOEDIFF spectrum (top) for the methyl bromide complex $\mathbf{1 b}$; irradiation of the methylene protons of the ligand backbone results in a positive NOE for the iridinium methyl protons.


Figure 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (bottom), 400 MHz , and NOEDIFF spectrum (top) for the dimethyl complex 2; irradiation of the methylene protons of the ligand backbone results in no detectable NOE for the iridium methyl protons.
nuclear Overhauser effect ${ }^{12}$ (NOE), as shown in Figure 1, for the iridium methyl proton resonance upon irradiation of the methylene protons of the ligand backbone requires that the methyl ligand be apical and cis disposed to the amide nitrogen donor; as will be discussed below, this result is important in light of the contrasting NOEDIFF results obtained for the dialkyl derivatives.

The deep red or purple methyl alkyl complexes $\operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{R}$ [ N $\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}$ ] $\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, 2 ; \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}, 3 ; \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, 4 ;\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, 5$ ) are prepared from the methyl iodide complex 1 as previously described. ${ }^{13}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the dimethyl derivative 2 (Figure 2) shows only one resonance for both of the iridium methyl groups $\left(\operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$ as well as one singlet for the silylmethyl protons and a virtual triplet for the methylene hydrogens $\left(\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\right)$ even down to $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; upon irradiation of the methylene resonance, no NOE is detected for the iridium methyl

[^0]

Figure 3. Perspective view of one molecule with numbering scheme. Geometry ( $\AA$ and deg); Ir-P1 2.308 (2), Ir-P2 2.303 (2), Ir-N 2.109 (7), $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{Cl} 2.131$ (9), $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{C} 22.089$ (9); $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{Cl} 152.5$ (3), $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{C} 2131.5$ (3), Cl-Ir-C2 76.0 (4), P1-Ir-P2 168.45 (9), N-Ir-P1 88.2 (2).
protons. Given the results ${ }^{14}$ found for the methyl halide complexes 1, the failure to observe a significant NOE (positive or negative) implies that a different stereochemistry than square pyramidal exists for the dimethyl complex 2; a trigonal-bipyramidal structure is therefore invoked. This rationale is based on the accepted origin ${ }^{12}$ of the NOE as a through-space transfer of magnetization that varies as $1 / r^{6}$; thus in the square-pyramidal geometry, the apical methyl group is closer to the methylene protons of the ligand backbone than in a trigonal-bipyramidal form where the methyl group is in the equatorial plane. That the tridentate ligand itself is not the source of the difference in the two isomers is evident from the similar NOE's observed for the ortho protons of the phenyl substituents on the phosphorus donors (i.e., enhancements at 7.9 and 7.8 ppm in Figure 1, and at 7.7 ppm in Figure 2).

Additional persuasive evidence that these two five-coordinate systems have different ground-state geometries is the X-ray crystal structure ${ }^{15}$ of the methyl neopentyl derivative 3 shown in Figure 3. Although distorted, the geometry is best described as a trigonal bipyramid; to our knowledge, this is the first five-coordinate $\operatorname{Ir}$ (III) complex structurally characterized as trigonal bipyramidal. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3 follows exactly the pattern of the dimethyl complex 2 especially in the lack of an observable NOE in the protons of both the methyl ( $\mathrm{IrCH}_{3}$ ) or the neopentyl methylene ( $\operatorname{IrCH} \mathrm{CMe}_{2}$ ) ligands when the ligand methylene protons are irradiated. These NOE results are similarly observed for the other methyl alkyl derivatives 4 and 5 , as well as the related dibenzyl complex $\operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{16}$ and the diphenyl derivative $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}\left[\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] .{ }^{16}$

As already mentioned, the observation of a trigonal-bipyramidal geometry for these Ir(III) systems both in the solid state and in solution would appear to contradict the current theoretical dogma. ${ }^{2}$ In fact, there is an obscure but excellent paper ${ }^{17}$ by Thorn and

[^1]Hoffmann that predicts all of the results described above, even though their analysis was directed toward $d^{6}$ metallocycles and related systems. Two relevant conclusions from their theoretical calculations are (i) a trigonal-bipyramidal structure should be preferred if a single-faced $\pi$-donor (i.e. amide, $\mathrm{NR}_{2}^{-}$) is present and (ii) strong $\sigma$-donors (i.e., alkyl ligands) will prefer the equatorial sites with a decrease in the angle between these donors (from $120^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$ ). This latter point is clearly apparent in the structure shown in Figure 3 wherein the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{C} 2$ angle is 76.0 $(4)^{\circ}$. Thus, the replacement of a halide moiety, a good doublefaced $\pi$-donor, with a strong $\sigma$-donor such as an alkyl ligand causes a sufficient perturbation to interchange the relative stabilities of the ground-state geometries for these five-coordinate $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ complexes.

Equipped with the knowledge of the solution stereochemistry of these dialkyl derivatives, we are now in a position to address the mechanism of the photoinduced $\alpha$-elimination process previously reported for these compounds. ${ }^{13,18}$

Acknowledgment. We thank NSERC and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for financial support. Johnson-Matthey is gratefully acknowledged for a generous loan of $\mathrm{IrCl}_{3}$. We are also indebted to Dr. David L. Thorn for bringing ref 17 to our attention.

Supplementary Material Available: Experimental details, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data, and analytical data for all new compounds, tables of bond lengths, bond angles, and atomic positional and thermal parameters, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR NOEDIFF experiment for 3 , and a view of the structure of 3 down the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{P}$ axis with the phenyl substituents removed for clarity (11 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.
(18) In ref 13, the structures of the methyl alkyl complexes 3 and 4 are drawn as square pyramidal; this was our perception before we isolated the dimethyl derivative 2, with it's simple ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, and before we had accumulated the NOE results.
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Peggion and co-workers have concluded that poly-L-tryptophan (PLT) adopts a right-handed $\alpha$-helical conformation in 2 -methoxyethanol (2 ME)., ${ }^{1,2}$ The circular dichromism (CD) and optical rotatory dispersion (ORD) information presented by them indirectly suggests an $\alpha$-helix of PLT in 2ME. However, it is difficult to assign directly a backbone conformation of PLT on the basis of the shape of the CD or ORD spectrum, as it contains side-chain contributions.
We succeeded in separating CD depending on the backbone contribution and on the side-chain contributions from natural CD of PLT (an average degree of polymerization of 30 ) in 2ME using the fluorescence detected circular dichroism (FDCD) technique, which was used to obtain the CD of a fluorescent chromophore. ${ }^{3,4}$

As a result, the shape of the separated backbone CD spectrum directly verified that PLT is $\alpha$-helical in 2ME
(1) Cosani, A.; Peggion, E.; Verdini, A. S.; Terbojevich, M. Biopolymers 1968, 6, 963-971.
(2) Peggion, E.; Cosani, A.; Verdini, A. S.; Del Pra, A.; Mammi, M Biopolymers 1968, 6, 1477-1486.
(3) Turner, D. H. In Methods Enzymol. 1978, 49G.
(4) Lobenstine, E. W.; Schaefer, W. C.; Turner, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4936-4940.


Figure 1. Spectra of fluorescence dissymmetry factor $g_{f}(-)$ and absorpsion dissymmetry factor $g_{\mathrm{a}}(---)$ of PLT in 2 ME . Error limits are roughly $\pm 5 \times 10^{-5}$.

We report herein the conformational analysis of PLT using the FDCD method and significant information concerning the optical activity of PLT.

FDCD experiments were performed by using a JASCO J-500C spectropolarimeter, setting a solution filter (a $100-\mathrm{mm}$ thickness of saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}$ ) between the sample cell and the photomultiplier for the elimination of the exciting circularly polarized light. The FDCD spectrum was obtained by scanning eight times with a 8 -s time constant and averaging, using a JASCO DP-501 data processor. The spectra measurements were taken 3 times in a 0.5 - or 1.0 mm -pathlength cuvette, and the obtained spectra were in good agreement with each other within the limit of experimental error. The FDCD base lines were measured with indole in 2-ME or DL-tryptophan in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at pH 10. These base lines were coincident, and no base-line shifts were observed. PLT fluorescence was essentially depolarized ( $P<0.06$ ) below 295 nm .

Figure 1 compares the fluorescence dissymmetry factor $g_{f}$ obtained from the FDCD experiments with the absorption dissymmetry factor $g_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from the ultraviolet absorption and CD spectra. The distinction between the shapes of these two dissymmetry factor spectra indicates that the separation of CD of its fluorophores from natural PLT CD have been accomplished by the FDCD technique.

It is reasonable to consider that PLT optical activity may originate from a one-electron mechanism $\mu 1-\mathrm{ml}$; the electric and magnetic-dipole transitions of the chromophore, such as indole chromophores ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{a}},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{b}},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{a}},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and amide chromophores $\mathrm{n}-\pi^{*}$, $\pi-\pi^{*}$, are mixed by the static Coulombic field of the other groups, and from a dynamic coupling mechanism $\mu 1-\mu 2$; electric dipole interactions of amide-amide, amide-indole, and indole-indole chromophores. ${ }^{5.6}$

However, on the following assumptions we tried to separate the backbone CD, coming from amide chromophore contributions, and the side-chain CD, coming from indole chromophore contributions, from natural CD of PLT using the eq 1 and 2.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta \epsilon_{\text {side }}=g_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{f} \mathrm{pLT}}  \tag{1}\\
\Delta \epsilon_{\text {back }}=\Delta \epsilon_{\mathrm{PLT}}-\Delta \epsilon_{\text {side }} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^2]
[^0]:    (11) The nuclear Overhauser effect difference spectra (NOEDIFF) were obtained on a WH-400 at 400 MHz by using standard sequences; see: Saunders, J. K. M.; Mersh, J. D. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1983, 15, 353.
    (12) (a) Noggle, J. H.; Shirmer, R. E. The Nuclear Overhauser Effect; Academic Press: New York, 1971. (b) Saunders, J. K.; Easton, J. W. In Determination of Organic Structures by Physical Methods; Nachod, F. C., Zuckerman, J. J., Randall, E. W., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1976; Vol. 6, p 271.
    (13) Fryzuk, M. D.; MacNeil, P. A.; Rettig, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6708.

[^1]:    (14) Similar NOE's are observed for the corresponding benzyl halide derivatives $\operatorname{lr}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) \mathrm{X}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ and Br$)$ and phenyl halide complexes $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{X}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$, and I), all of which are square pyramidal. ${ }^{16}$
    (15) X-ray data of the methyl neopentyl complex 3. The molecule crystallizes in space group $P \overline{1}$ with $a=15.809$ (3) $\AA, b=16.849$ (5) $\AA, c=15.736$ (7) $\AA ; \alpha=96.39(3)^{\circ}, \beta=95.57(3)^{\circ}, \gamma=66.62(2)^{\circ} ; V=3817 \AA^{3}$, and $Z=4$ (two independent). Data collected at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a CAD4F diffractometer. Structure solved by using Patterson techniques on an SDP structure solution system. Final $R$ factors, by full-matrix least-squares refinement on $F$, of 0.049 and 0.060 for 6974 reflections with $I>3 \sigma(I)$. All non-H atoms, other than the C atoms of the phenyl rings, refined with anisotropic thermal parameters for a total of 517 parameters. Tables of atomic positional and thermal parameters and bond distances and angles are available as supplementary material. Complete crystallographic details of this and other related structures will be published at a later date.
    (16) MacNeil, P. A.; Fryzuk, M. D., unpublished results.
    (17) Thorn, D. L.; Hoffmann, R. Nouv. J. Chim. 1979, 3, 39.

[^2]:    (5) Mason, S. F. In Optical Activity and Chiral Discrimination; Mason, S. F., Ed.; Reidel: Boston, 1979; Chapter 1.
    (6) Schellman, J. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 1968, I, 144-151

